A new age-based equation for predicting maximum heart rate in endurance-trained runners
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Abstract This study aimed to generate an age-based maximum heart rate (HR\textsubscript{max}) equation for endurance-trained runners. Thirty-four male runners performed three tests on a motorized treadmill, starting at 8 km h\textsuperscript{-1} with increments of 1 km h\textsuperscript{-1} every 1, 2 or, 3 min. HR\textsubscript{max} was defined as the highest heart rate value recorded during each test. Post hoc analyses indicated that the HR\textsubscript{max} derived from each test was significantly lower than the highest HR\textsubscript{max} value, for each participant. HR\textsubscript{max} predicted by "$206 \times \text{age}$" underestimated the highest HR\textsubscript{max} by 8.6 beats min\textsuperscript{-1}. Thus, the generated age-based "$218 \times \text{age} + 116$" equation should be used to predict HR\textsubscript{max} in endurance-trained runners.

© 2018 Colégio Brasileiro de Ciências do Esporte. Published by Elsevier Editora Ltda. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Uma nova equação baseada em idade para predição da frequência cardíaca máxima em corredores aerobicamente treinados

Resumo Este estudo objetivou gerar uma equação de frequência cardíaca máxima (FC\textsubscript{max}) baseada na idade para corredores aerobicamente treinados. Trinta e quatro corredores homens realizaram três testes incrementais em esteira motorizada, com início a 8 km h\textsuperscript{-1} e incrementos de 1 km h\textsuperscript{-1} a cada um, dois ou, três minutos. A FC\textsubscript{max} foi definida como o valor mais alto de frequência cardíaca registrado em cada teste. As análises de post hoc indicaram que a FC\textsubscript{max} de cada teste foi significativamente menor que o valor mais elevado de FC\textsubscript{max} para cada
Maximal heart rate (HR\textsubscript{max}) is one of the most commonly used values in clinical medicine and physiology (Tanaka et al., 2001) where it is used as a criterion for assessing maximal effort during graded exercise testing (Duncan et al., 1997; Howley et al., 1995) and can be used to prescribe appropriate exercise intensity (ACSM, 2006; Robergs and Landwehr, 2002). For these purposes, exercise and fitness professionals often use age-based equations to estimate HR\textsubscript{max} (Engels et al., 1998; Sporis et al., 2011; Tanaka et al., 2001). However, several equations are available to estimate this value (Engels et al., 1998; Gellish et al., 2007; Graettinger et al., 1995; Inbar et al., 1994; Jones et al., 1985; Lester et al., 1968; Miller et al., 1993; Schiller et al., 2001; Sheffield et al., 1978; Tanaka et al., 1997; Whaley et al., 1992), including meta-analyses of published equations (Londeree and Moeschberger, 1982; Tanaka et al., 2001) and most of them differ widely in their estimates of HR\textsubscript{max} (Londeree and Moeschberger, 1982). Additionally, these equations were derived from different populations and testing protocols, which can also affect the determination of this value.

HR\textsubscript{max} is a protocol-dependent physiological variable that is expected to be higher in long-duration tests as compared to short-duration tests (Bishop et al., 1998; Roffey et al., 2007). Nevertheless, some age-based HR\textsubscript{max} equations were generated using short protocols (Graettinger et al., 1995; Inbar et al., 1994; Schiller et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 1997), following the suggestion of Buchfuhrer et al. (1983) to bring the subject to the limit of tolerance in 10 ± 2 min. In fact, Buchfuhrer et al. (1983) suggested that short duration protocols were best to obtain the highest maximal oxygen uptake (VO\textsubscript{2max}) value, but not for attaining the highest HR\textsubscript{max} value. This group also reported higher HR\textsubscript{max} values in long (∼26 min) tests than in short (∼11 min) tests. These reports, therefore, suggest that age-based HR\textsubscript{max} equations were generated using testing protocols that might not have been the most appropriate for attaining the highest value for HR\textsubscript{max}. Additionally, one other shortcoming of the currently available age-based equations is that none of the previous studies derived a predictive equation by using the highest HR\textsubscript{max} value obtained from two or more testing protocols, using the same individuals. Such a method could provide higher values for each subject and, consequently, higher values for the derived HR\textsubscript{max} equation.

The incorrect prediction of HR\textsubscript{max} may cause systematic errors in exercise prescriptions (Cleary et al., 2011). When HR\textsubscript{max} is overestimated, the prescribed exercise intensity will be greater than needed to improve cardiovascular fitness. On the other hand, the underestimation of HR\textsubscript{max} leads to lower stimulus that may not improve aerobic parameters. Thus, reducing the error associated with estimating HR\textsubscript{max} would improve the accuracy of exercise prescriptions (Cleary et al., 2011), and improved estimates require the development and use of new equations designed for specific populations and modes of exercise (Robergs and Landwehr, 2002). Thus, the purpose of this study was threefold: (a) to compare three age-based HR\textsubscript{max} equations derived for the same participants from each incremental test of different duration, (b) to derive an age-based HR\textsubscript{max} equation for recreational endurance-trained runners from the highest HR\textsubscript{max} value, for each participant, attained from the three incremental tests, and (c) to compare the generated equations with the well-known “206 – 0.7 × age” equation proposed by Tanaka et al. (2001) for recreational endurance-trained individuals. We hypothesized that the

Una nueva ecuación basada en la edad para pronosticar la frecuencia cardíaca máxima en corredores entrenados en resistencia

Resumen El objetivo de este estudio fue generar una ecuación de la frecuencia cardíaca máxima (FC\textsubscript{max}) basada en la edad en corredores entrenados en resistencia. Treinta y cuatro corredores de sexo masculino realizaron 3 pruebas en una cinta ergométrica motorizada, comenzando con la velocidad de 8 km/h\textsuperscript{-1} con incrementos de 1 km/h\textsuperscript{-1} cada uno, 2 o 3 min. La FC\textsubscript{max} fue definida como el valor de la frecuencia cardíaca más elevada registrada durante cada prueba. Los análisis posteriores indicaron que la FC\textsubscript{max} derivada de las pruebas fue considerablemente más baja que el valor más alto de la FC\textsubscript{max} de cada participante. La FC\textsubscript{max} pronosticada por la ecuación “206-0.7 × edad”, subestimada la más alta FC\textsubscript{max} por 8,6 lat/min\textsuperscript{-1}. Así, la ecuación generada basada en la edad “218-0,8 × edad” debería utilizarse para pronosticar la FC\textsubscript{max} en corredores entrenados en resistencia.

© 2018 Colégio Brasileiro de Ciências do Esporte. Publicado por Elsevier Editora Ltda. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
Materials and methods

Participants

Thirty-four male, recreational, endurance-trained runners of regional and local level with a minimum of two years of training experience volunteered to take part in this study. The 10-km running times of the participants were between 35 and 60 min, with a pace between 10 and 17 km h⁻¹ (~45–75% of the world record). Prior to testing, all participants provided written informed consent and the local ethics committee approved the experimental protocol (#719/2010).

Experimental overview

In a counterbalanced order, participants who were habituated to running tests performed three continuous incremental exercise tests of different stage durations on a motorized treadmill (Super ATL; Inbrasport, Porto Alegre, Brazil), with the gradient set at 1%. The tests were performed over two weeks, with each test separated from the other by at least 48 h. The three different stage duration protocols were as follows: (a) short stage duration of 1 min (SS), (b) intermediate stage duration of 2 min (IS), and (c) long stage duration of 3 min (LS).

Exercise protocols

After a warm-up that consisted of walking at 6 km h⁻¹ for 3 min, each protocol started with an initial speed of 8 km h⁻¹, followed by an increase of 1 km h⁻¹ between each successive stage until volitional exhaustion. The speed was increased every 1 min for the SS test, every 2 min for the IS test, and every 3 min for the LS test. Consistently across each trial, participants were strongly encouraged, verbally to invest maximum effort. All the three tests were performed at the same time of the day, under normal laboratory conditions (temperature = 20–22 °C and relative humidity = 50–60%). Participants were instructed to report for testing well-rested, nourished, and hydrated, wearing lightweight comfortable clothing. Participants were also instructed to avoid eating 2 h before the maximal exercise tests, to abstain from caffeine and alcohol, and to refrain from strenuous exercise for 24 h before testing.

Physiological variables

Before testing, participants were familiarized with the 6–20 scale by Borg (1982), which was used to measure the rating of perceived exertion (RPE) during the last 15 s of each stage and at exhaustion. The highest RPE value was adopted as the peak RPE (RPEpeak). Heart rate (HR) was recorded every five seconds throughout the tests (Polar RS800sd, Kempele, Finland) and HRmax was defined as the highest HR value recorded during the test (Bentley and McNaughton, 2003; Schiller et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 2001). Neither respiratory gases nor blood lactate were monitored during the tests concerning that such interventions would affect the performance of the participants (Schabort et al., 1998). Earlobe capillary blood samples (25 µL) was collected into a glass tube at the end of the tests and at the third, fifth, and seventh minute of passive recovery, sitting in a comfortable chair. From these samples, blood lactate concentration was subsequently determined by electroenzymatic methods using an automated analyzer (YSI 2300 STAT, Ohio, USA). Peak blood lactate concentration (LApeak) was defined for each participant as the highest post-exercise blood lactate concentration value. The maximal effort was deemed to be achieved if the incremental test met two of the following criteria: 1) LApeak higher than 8 mmol L⁻¹, 2) HRmax within ±10 beats min⁻¹ of endurance-trained age-predicted HRmax using the age-based “206 – 0.7 × age” equation (Tanaka et al., 2001) and 3) RPEpeak greater than 18 in the 6–20 Borg scale (Howley et al., 1995).

Statistical analyses

Data are presented as mean ± SD and were analyzed using the SPSS 17.0 software. The Shapiro–Wilk test was used to check the normality of the data distribution. HRmax values were compared using repeated measures ANOVA with Bonferroni post hoc test. The sphericity assumption was checked by Mauchly’s test of sphericity. A simple linear regression was employed to determine the age-based HRmax equation from the SS (HRmaxSS), IS (HRmaxIS) and LS (HRmaxLS) tests. Additionally, an age-based HRmax equation was derived from the highest HRmax value attained for each participant from the SS, IS, and LS tests (HRmaxSIL). The relationship between HRmax and age was examined using the Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r), the coefficient of determination (R²) and the standard error of estimate (SEE). Statistical significance was set at p < 0.05.

Results

The characteristics of the participants (mean ± SD) are presented in Table 1.

Four participants did not meet at least two criteria of maximal effort in at least one test. The tests from these participants were not included in the results. The summary of the physiological parameters of the remaining thirty participants (mean ± SD) is given in Table 2. Analysis of variance revealed a significant effect of the stage duration on
values of maximum rating of perceived exertion (RPEpeak), peak blood lactate concentration (LAppeak), percentage of age-predicted maximum heart rate (%APMHR), and maximal heart rate (HRmax) during treadmill incremental protocols with stage length of 1 min (SS), 2 min (IS) and 3 min (LS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>RPEpeak (6–20 Borg scale)</th>
<th>LAppeak (mmol L⁻¹)</th>
<th>%APMHR (%)</th>
<th>Time to exhaustion (min)</th>
<th>HRmax (beats min⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SS</td>
<td>19.8 ± 0.6</td>
<td>9.4 ± 2.2</td>
<td>102.3 ± 4.0</td>
<td>11.1 ± 1.6</td>
<td>181.8 ± 12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IS</td>
<td>19.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.2 ± 1.9</td>
<td>104.0 ± 4.3</td>
<td>19.4 ± 3.0</td>
<td>184.8 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LS</td>
<td>19.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.9 ± 2.2</td>
<td>103.0 ± 4.1</td>
<td>26.7 ± 4.7</td>
<td>183.1 ± 12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIL</td>
<td>20.0 ± 0.0</td>
<td>10.3 ± 2.0</td>
<td>104.8 ± 4.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>186.3 ± 12.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are means ± SD, n = 30. SIL, highest values attained for each participant from the SS, IS and LS protocols.

a p < 0.05 compared with the SS protocol.
b p < 0.05 compared with the IS protocol.
c p < 0.05 compared with the LS protocol.

Discussion

The main purpose of the present study was to derive an age-based HRmax equation for recreational endurance-trained runners. The main finding was that the generated '218 − 0.8 × age'' equation should be used to predict HRmax in endurance-trained runners rather than the well-known '206 − 0.7 × age'' meta-analysis equation by Tanaka et al. (2001). In fact, the '206 − 0.7 × age'' equation, on average, underestimated the highest HRmax values by 8.6 beats min⁻¹.

Nevertheless, most of them are too general or unspecific for the population of endurance-trained runners.

Tanaka et al. (2001) presented one of the most usual equations to predict HRmax (Cleary et al., 2011; Franckowiak et al., 2011). They proposed the general age-based '208 − 0.7 × age'' equation, independent of gender and habitual physical activity status, which was generated by a meta-analytic study that included 351 studies involving 18,712 individuals. This general equation is valid for heterogeneous groups within a wide age range including children and adolescents (Machado and Denadai, 2011). They also proposed the equation '206 − 0.7 × age'' for the specific
population of endurance-trained individuals. Nevertheless, in contrast to some studies (Camarda et al., 2008; Cleary et al., 2011; Silva et al., 2007), we found that the specific \( '206 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation underestimated the actual \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) in endurance-trained runners.

Camarda et al. (2008), for example, analyzed the data from 2047 sedentary individuals (age, 12–69 years), and reported that the \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) obtained by the \( '208 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation (182.7 ± 8.2 beats min\(^{-1}\) ) overestimated the measured \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) (180.8 ± 13.8 beats min\(^{-1}\) ). Similarly, Cleary et al. (2011) evaluated 96 active subjects ranging from 18 to 33 years old. They found that the general \( '208 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation overestimated the measured \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) (192.6 ± 1.9 and 190.1 ± 7.9 beats min\(^{-1}\), respectively). Silva et al. (2007) assessed 93 elderly women (age, 67 ± 5 years) during the modified Bruce protocol and found that the measured \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) (145.5 ± 12.5 beats min\(^{-1}\) ) was highly over-estimated by the general \( '208 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation (161.0 ± 3.9 beats min\(^{-1}\) ). On the other hand, we found that the specific \( '206 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation for endurance-trained runners underestimated the actual \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) for the sample of this study. Supporting our results, Sporis et al. (2011), assessed 509 members of the Croatian Armed Forces (age, 29.1 ± 5.5 years) and concluded that the general \( '208 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation underestimates the actual \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) value by 4.2 beats min\(^{-1}\) in military personnel. Similarly, Nes et al. (2013) examined the relationship between \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) and age in 3320 healthy individuals within a wide age range (19–89 years) and reported that the \( '208 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation underestimated the measured \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) by 6.2 beats min\(^{-1}\) even using a individualized protocol that brought the subjects to exhaustion within 8–12 min.

An important factor to develop an accurate age-based \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) equation for a specific population is the homogeneity of the sample. This study involved 34 recreational endurance-trained runners. The small number of participants was the main limitation of this study. However, the difficulty in obtaining a homogeneous group of participants willing to perform three running tests in laboratory until exhaustion was a factor that restricted the sample size. In contrast, the sample of this study ranged widely in age, contributing to the generation of the equation. Another factor that influences the accuracy of a generated age-based \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) equation is the duration of the incremental test. Roffey et al. (2007) showed that the \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) was significantly higher during long (25 ± 4 min) incremental tests than it was in shorter tests (10 ± 2 min). Moreover, Bishop et al. (1998) found significantly higher values for \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) when a stage duration of 3 min was used, rather than 1 min, during incremental tests. Thus, the design of the incremental tests might have affected most of the available age-based \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) equations.

In this study, the age-based equation generated from IS protocol was the most similar to the \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \)SIL equation (Fig. 1) and contributed with about 60% of the data for its generation. Additionally, not only the \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \)SIL but also all the three equations generated from just one test (i.e., \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \)SIL, \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \)SIL, and \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \)SIL) presented higher values for \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) than the \( '206 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation. Further, the \( '206 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation, on average, underestimated the measured \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) values by 8.6 beats min\(^{-1}\) in the recreational endurance-trained population. Thus, the proposed \( '218 - 0.8 \times \text{age}' \) equation, generated from three incremental tests of different durations, seems to be more appropriate for predicting \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) in this population of runners.

The majority of age-based univariate equation for predicting \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) have large errors between 7 and 11 beats min\(^{-1}\) (Robergs and Landwehr, 2002). Further, according to these authors, equations need to be developed that are population specific. The error of the proposed \( '218 - 0.8 \times \text{age}' \) equation for the specific population of recreational endurance-trained runners was relatively low, and in accordance with previous studies. Nes et al. (2013), for example, proposed recently the \( '211 - 0.64 \times \text{age}' \) equation to predict the \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) in healthy individuals and reported a higher, but also in accordance with previous studies, error (i.e., SEE = 10.8 beats min\(^{-1}\); \( r = -0.60 \)). Nevertheless, due to the inherent error associated with the estimation of \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \), using the proposed \( '218 - 0.8 \times \text{age}' \) equation, approximately 67% of the population should fall within ±8 beats min\(^{-1}\) of the actual \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \). Further, for 5% of the population, the actual \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) could differ by more than 15 beats min\(^{-1}\). Therefore, direct measurements of \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) should be used whenever possible.

Although this study adds important value to the literature as well as present great practical application, it also has a limitation. Due to the specific characteristics of the sample (recreational endurance-trained runners), our sample size is small for this type of study. However, as mentioned, the equation was built to provide a great practical application for this specific population.

**Conclusion**

In summary, the results from the present study suggest that the proposed \( '218 - 0.8 \times \text{age}' \) equation should be used to predict \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) in recreational endurance-trained runners rather than the well-known \( '206 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation proposed by Tanaka et al. (2001). Additionally, the \( '206 - 0.7 \times \text{age}' \) equation, on average, underestimated the measured \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) values by 8.6 beats min\(^{-1}\) in the recreational endurance-trained population. Researchers, coaches, and practitioners are recommended to use this formula to determine \( H_{\text{Rmax}} \) more accurately in endurance-trained runners. Because the results of this study are limited to this specific population, further research is warranted to verify whether these results apply to other populations that have different performance levels.
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